

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: CON MISSIONER FOR PATENTS 7.0. Bo. 1450 Alexandia, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/927,104	08/10/2001		Francisco O'Meany	WCTI2001	3891
7590 04/21/2006				EXAMINER	
H. Michael Br	ucker		CHANG, ERIC		
Suite 110 5855 Doyle Stre	et		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Emeryville, CA			2116		
				DATE MAILED: 04/21/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/927,104	O'MEANY, FRANCISCO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eric Chang	2116	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory periorally reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuany reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOn te, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03.	February 2006.		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	,	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		• •	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority documer 	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 		s)/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/927,104 Page 2

Art Unit: 2116

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-27 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 7-10, 15-16 and 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 6,633,823 to Bartone et al.
- 4. As to claim 1, Bartone discloses a wireless remote control system for controlling power distribution from a power source to a computer the combination comprising: a master controller computer (22) for generating power distribution signals [col. 6, lines 45-48]; a wireless signal receiver (36) for receiving power distribution signals [col. 5, lines 29-67]; a wireless signal transmission link (46) delivering power distribution signals from said master controller to said wireless signal receiver [col. 6, lines 3-10]; an external power switch disposed between the power source and the computer and having an open condition in which the power source is disconnected from the computer and a closed condition in which the power source is connected to the computer [col. 5, lines 59-67]; an intelligent agent (30) that receives signals from said wireless signal receiver [FIG. 3], distributes control signals to and receives signals from the computer to be controlled and controls the condition of said power switch [col. 5, lines 11-45]; a two-way communication link (32) between the computer to be controlled and said intelligent

agent over which signals between said intelligent agent and the computer to be controlled are transmitted [col. 5, lines 11-28].

- 5. As to claim 2, Bartone discloses a database of information about the controlled computer and used by said master controller computer to generate a computer shutdown control signal that is recognized by the controlled computer [col. 6, lines 11-18].
- 6. As to claim 7, Bartone discloses said two-way communication link is a wireless link [col. 5, lines 40-45].
- 7. As to claim 8, Bartone discloses a wireless remote control system for controlling power distribution to a plurality of computers, the combination comprising: a master controller computer for generating power distribution signals [col. 6, lines 11-18]; a wireless signal receiver for receiving power distribution signals [col. 5, lines 29-67]; a wireless signal transmission link delivering power distribution signals from said master controller to said wireless signal receiver [col. 6, lines 3-10]; an intelligent agent (30) that receives signals from said wireless signal receiver [FIG. 3], said intelligent agent having a plurality of two-way communication links, one between said intelligent agent and each of the plurality of computers, wherein said intelligent agent can distribute control signals to and receives signals from each of the plurality of computers and controls the power condition of said computers [col. 5, lines 11-45]. Because Bartone teaches the control signals from the intelligent agent activate and deactivate a computer [col. 5, lines 59-65], Bartone teaches turning off the computer, such as

disconnecting said computer from its power supply by means of opening a switch, substantially as claimed. Furthermore, Bartone teaches that the control signals allow the central controller computer to directly control the plurality of computers [col. 3, lines 62-67], and that each one of said plurality of computers may be controlled individually [col. 4, lines 18-21]. Thus Bartone teaches that the control signals are directed towards an individual computer, and inherently comprises a unique addressing means for the control signal to be sent to the particular computer, substantially as claimed.

- 8. As to claim 9, Bartone discloses said two-way communication link is a wireless link [col. 5, lines 40-45].
- 9. As to claim 10, Bartone discloses a database of information about the controlled computer and used by said master controller computer to generate a computer shutdown control signal that is recognized by the controlled computer [col. 6, lines 11-18].
- 10. As to claims 15 and 16, Bartone discloses the intelligent agents receive wireless signals to manage activating, deactivating, or limiting the power to the controlled computers [col. 10, lines 22-31]. Furthermore, because Bartone teaches the control signals from the intelligent agent activate and deactivate a computer [col. 5, lines 59-65], Bartone teaches turning off the computer, such as disconnecting said computer from its power supply by means of opening a switch, wherever the switch may be disposed, substantially as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 09/927,104 Page 5

Art Unit: 2116

As to claim 25, Bartone discloses a wireless remote control system for controlling power 11. distribution to a plurality of computers, the combination comprising: a master controller computer for generating power distribution signals [col. 6, lines 11-18]; a wireless signal receiver for receiving power distribution signals [col. 5, lines 29-67]; a wireless signal transmission link delivering power distribution signals from said master controller to said wireless signal receiver [col. 6, lines 3-10]; an intelligent agent that receives signals from said wireless signal receiver and distributes them to the computer to which they are addressed and to the internal power switch of the computer addressed [FIG. 3, and col. 5, lines 11-45]. Because Bartone teaches the control signals from the intelligent agent activate and deactivate a computer [col. 5, lines 59-65], Bartone teaches turning off the computer, such as disconnecting said computer from its power supply by means of opening a switch, wherever the switch may be disposed, substantially as claimed. Furthermore, Bartone teaches that the control signals allow the central controller computer to directly control the plurality of computers [col. 3, lines 62-67], and that each one of said plurality of computers may be controlled individually [col. 4, lines 18-21]. Thus Bartone teaches that the control signals are directed towards an individual computer. and inherently comprises a unique addressing means for the control signal to be sent to the particular computer, substantially as claimed.

12. As to claim 26, Bartone discloses the signals transmitted to the wireless signal receiver include computer shutdown signals and computer startup signals [col. 10, lines 22-31].

Art Unit: 2116

13. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found

Page 6

in a prior Office action.

14. Claims 3-6, 11-14, 17-24 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,633,823 to Bartone et al.

15. As to claims 3 and 11, Bartone discloses the controlled computer responds to a to a computer shutdown signal by executing an orderly shutdown routine and then transmits a signal indicating that it is safe to disconnect the power source from the controlled computer, which signal is transmitted to said intelligent agent [col. 10, lines 28-31]. Bartone teaches that the controlled computer may have a power conservation mode, and that the intelligent agent may activate or deactivate this mode as desired, causing the computer to enter such a mode in a safe and orderly fashion. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the process of activating or deactivating such a mode would comprise any necessary communication protocols, such as safety indication messages or the like, in order for the power conservation mode to be activated or deactivated, substantially as claimed.

16. As to claims 4 and 12, Bartone discloses the intelligent agents manage activating, deactivating, or limiting the power to the controlled computers [col. 10, lines 22-31]; it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that limiting the power to a computer would comprise disconnecting the power source from the controlled computer, substantially as claimed.

Furthermore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the process of having the

intelligent agent managing the power of the controlled computer would comprise any necessary communication protocols, such as safety indication messages or the like, in order for the power conservation mode to be activated or deactivated, substantially as claimed.

- 17. As to claims 5 and 13, Bartone discloses the controlled computer may have a power conservation mode, and that the intelligent agent may activate or deactivate this mode as desired [col. 10, lines 28-31]. Because ACPI is a power conservation mode, it would be inherent for the control process to comprise any necessary ACPI signals in order for the power conservation mode to be activated or deactivated, substantially as claimed.
- 18. As to claims 6 and 14, Bartone discloses that the master controller, the intelligent agent and the computers to be controlled are all part of a network [col. 5, lines 48-45, and col. 6, lines 7-10]. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that secure communication technology such as authentication protocols well known in the art be implemented in the network, in order to prevent unauthorized access to the devices within the power control system. Indeed, Bartone teaches the use of secure and authenticated communication technology, such as VPN [col. 5, lines 3-6] or other secure network protocols [col. 7, lines 57-67, and col. 8, lines 1-3], in other aspects of the invention. Thus, Bartone teaches it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use authenticated communications, comprising security information identifying the control signal as an authorized signal wherein said intelligent agent only distributes a control signal to the computer to be controlled if the security information is authentic, substantially as claimed.

Art Unit: 2116

- 19. As to claim 17, Bartone discloses the intelligent agents receive wireless signals to
- manage activating, deactivating, or limiting the power to the controlled computers [col. 10, lines

Page 8

22-31]. Furthermore, because Bartone teaches the control signals from the intelligent agent

activate and deactivate a computer [col. 5, lines 59-65], Bartone teaches turning off the

computer, such as disconnecting said computer from its power supply by means of opening a

switch, wherever the switch may be disposed, substantially as claimed.

20. As to claim 18, Bartone discloses a method of wirelessly controlling one or more remote

devices to be controlled, including the steps of: providing a database (22) with specific

information relating to each device to be controlled [col. 5, lines 48-50]; generating a device

control signal using information from the database [col. 6, lines 16-18]; wirelessly transmitting

the device control signal to a satellite receiving station [FIG. 3, element 52, and col. 6, lines 45-

54]; wirelessly transmitting the device control signal from the satellite receiving station to a

control signal transceiver [col. 5, lines 29-45]; distributing the control signal from the transceiver

to the device to be controlled [col. 5, lines 17-21]; and generating a signal that controls some

aspect of the device to be controlled [col. 5, lines 59-67]. Although Bartone does not specifically

teach the device transmitting a signal to the transceiver that it is ready to be controlled, Bartone

does teach that intelligent agent can control the power mode of the device [col. 10, lines 22-31].

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the process of having the intelligent

agent managing the power of the controlled computer would comprise any necessary

communication protocols, such as readiness indication messages or the like, in order for the power conservation mode to be activated or deactivated, substantially as claimed.

- As to claim 19, Bartone discloses a method of wirelessly controlling one or more remote devices to be controlled, including the steps of: generating a device control signal [col. 6, lines 11-18]; wirelessly transmitting the device control signal to a satellite receiving station [FIG. 3, element 52, and col. 6, lines 45-54]; wirelessly transmitting the device control signal from the satellite receiving station to a control signal transceiver [col. 5, lines 29-45]; distributing the control signal from the transceiver to the device to be controlled [col. 5, lines 17-21]; and generating a signal that controls some aspect of the device to be controlled [col. 5, lines 59-67]. Although Bartone does not specifically teach the device transmitting a signal to the transceiver that it is ready to be controlled, Bartone does teach that intelligent agent can control the power mode of the device [col. 10, lines 22-31]. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the process of having the intelligent agent managing the power of the controlled computer would comprise any necessary communication protocols, such as readiness indication messages or the like, in order for the power conservation mode to be activated or deactivated, substantially as claimed.
- 22. As to claim 20, Bartone discloses a method of wirelessly controlling power distribution to a plurality of computers each of which is connected to a power source, including the steps of: generating power distribution control signals addressed to one or more of the plurality of computers [col. 6, lines 11-18]; wirelessly transmitting the power distribution control signals to a

satellite receiving station [FIG. 3, element 52, and col. 6, lines 45-54]; wirelessly transmitting the power distribution control signals from the satellite receiving station to a control signal receiver [FIG. 3, and col. 5, lines 29-45]; distributing control signals from the control signal receiver to an intelligent agent [col. 5, lines 17-21]; transmitting over a two-way communication link a control signal from the intelligent agent to each of the plurality of computers addressed by the signal [col. 5, lines 17-21]; and disconnecting from its power source each computer from which a safe-to-shut-down signal is received by the intelligent agent [col. 5, lines 59-67]. Although Bartone does not specifically teach the device transmitting a signal to the intelligent agent that it is safe to shut down, Bartone does teach that intelligent agent can control the power mode of the device [col. 10, lines 22-31]. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the process of having the intelligent agent managing the power of the controlled computer would comprise any

Page 10

23. As to claim 21, Bartone discloses the controlled computer may have a power conservation mode, and that the intelligent agent may activate or deactivate this mode as desired [col. 10, lines 28-31]. Because ACPI is a power conservation mode, it would be inherent for the control process to comprise any necessary ACPI signals in order for the power conservation mode to be activated or deactivated, substantially as claimed.

necessary communication protocols, such as safety indication messages or the like, in order for

the power conservation mode to be activated or deactivated, substantially as claimed.

24. As to claim 22, Bartone discloses that the master controller, the intelligent agent and the computers to be controlled are all part of a network [col. 5, lines 48-45, and col. 6, lines 7-10]. It

would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that secure communication technology such as authentication protocols well known in the art be implemented in the network, in order to prevent unauthorized access to the devices within the power control system. Indeed, Bartone teaches the use of secure and authenticated communication technology, such as VPN [col. 5, lines 3-6] or other secure network protocols [col. 7, lines 57-67, and col. 8, lines 1-3], in other aspects of the invention. Thus, Bartone teaches it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use authenticated communications, comprising security information identifying the control signal as an authorized signal wherein said intelligent agent only distributes a control signal to the computer to be controlled if the security information is authentic, substantially as claimed.

Page 11

- 25. As to claims 23-24, Bartone discloses said two-way communication link is a wireless link [col. 5, lines 40-45].
- 26. As to claim 27, Bartone discloses a wireless remote control system for controlling power distribution from a power source to a computer the combination comprising: a master controller computer (22) for generating power distribution signals [col. 6, lines 45-48]; a wireless signal receiver (36) for receiving power distribution signals [col. 5, lines 29-67]; a wireless signal transmission link (46) delivering power distribution signals from said master controller to said wireless signal receiver [col. 6, lines 3-10]; an external power switch disposed between the power source and the computer and having an open condition in which the power source is disconnected from the computer and a closed condition in which the power source is connected

to the computer [col. 5, lines 59-67]; an intelligent agent (30) that receives signals from said wireless signal receiver [FIG. 3], distributes control signals to and receives signals from the computer to be controlled and controls the condition of said power switch [col. 5, lines 11-45]; a two-way communication link (32) between the computer to be controlled and said intelligent agent over which signals between said intelligent agent and the computer to be controlled are transmitted [col. 5, lines 11-28]. In addition, Bartone discloses the intelligent agents manage activating, deactivating, or limiting the power to the controlled computers [col. 10, lines 22-31]; it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that limiting the power to a computer would comprise disconnecting the power source from the controlled computer, substantially as claimed. Furthermore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the process of having the intelligent agent managing the power of the controlled computer would comprise any necessary communication protocols, such as safety indication messages or the like, in order for the power conservation mode to be activated or deactivated, substantially as claimed.

Response to Arguments

- 27. Applicant's arguments filed February 3, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 28. In the remarks, applicants argued in substance that Bartone teaches that the intelligent agent is only a pass-through of information between the computer and the device being controlled and does not generate any signals independent of the master computer. But Bartone teaches the intelligent agents manage activating, deactivating, or limiting the power to the

controlled computers [col. 10, lines 22-31]. Thus, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the process of having the intelligent agent managing the power of the controlled computer would comprise any necessary communication protocols, such as safety indication messages or the like, in order for the power conservation mode to be activated or deactivated, substantially as claimed. There is no indication in the teachings of Bartone that the intelligent agent is only a pass-through of information between the computer and the device being controlled.

Response to Amendment

- 29. The affidavits filed on February 3, 2006 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the Bartone reference.
- 30. The affidavit or declaration and exhibits must clearly explain which facts or data applicant is relying on to show completion of his or her invention prior to the particular date. Vague and general statements in broad terms about what the exhibits describe along with a general assertion that the exhibits describe a reduction to practice "amounts essentially to mere pleading, unsupported by proof or a showing of facts" and, thus, does not satisfy the requirements of 37 CFR 1.131(b). Applicant must give a clear explanation of the exhibits pointing out exactly what facts are established and relied on by applicant.
- 31. The affidavit or declaration must state FACTS and produce such documentary evidence and exhibits in support thereof as are available to show conception and completion of invention

Application/Control Number: 09/927,104 Page 14

Art Unit: 2116

in this country or in a NAFTA or WTO member country (MPEP § 715.07(c)), at least the conception being at a date prior to the effective date of the reference. Where there has not been reduction to practice prior to the date of the reference, the applicant or patent owner must also show diligence in the completion of his or her invention from a time just prior to the date of the reference continuously up to the date of an actual reduction to practice or up to the date of filing his or her application (filing constitutes a constructive reduction to practice, 37 CFR 1.131).

- 32. As discussed above, 37 CFR 1.131(b) provides three ways in which an applicant can establish prior invention of the claimed subject matter. The showing of facts must be sufficient to show:
- (A) reduction to practice of the invention prior to the effective date of the reference; or
- (B) conception of the invention prior to the effective date of the reference coupled with due diligence from prior to the reference date to a subsequent (actual) reduction to practice; or
- (C) conception of the invention prior to the effective date of the reference coupled with due diligence from prior to the reference date to the filing date of the application (constructive reduction to practice).
- 33. Applicant has not met the burden of showing conception of the invention prior to the effective date of the reference coupled with due diligence from prior to the reference date to the filing date of the application, as described above.

34. Applicant has not met the burden of showing reduction to practice of the invention prior to the effective date of the reference from prior to the reference date, as described above.

Reduction to Practice

- 35. The evidence submitted is insufficient to establish a reduction to practice of the invention in this country or a NAFTA or WTO member country prior to the effective date of the July 13, 2000 reference.
- 36. Examiner has reviewed the affidavits. While Applicant declares that the inventions were reduced to practice before July 13, 2000 by completing a fully operational prototype by June 29, 2000 [declarations 8 and 10], Applicant has not clearly explained how the prototype supports reduction to practice of the claimed invention. In general, proof of actual reduction to practice requires a showing that the apparatus actually existed and worked for its intended purpose.
- 37. Although exhibit C appears to show that the apparatus actually existed, it is not clear from the exhibits that it worked for its intended purpose. For example, there is no evidence of the device controlling power distribution from a power source to a computer. Furthermore, it is not clear from the exhibits which of the claimed limitations are satisfied by the prototype. For example, there is no evidence of the claimed aspects of the prototype comprising, inter alia, the master controller computer, the wireless components, the external power switch, the intelligent agent, or the two-way system was in fact implemented at that time. The evidence submitted is insufficient to establish a reduction to practice of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 2116

Conclusion

38. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

39. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-3671. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Browne can be reached on (571) 272-3670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2116

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

April 11, 2006

LYNNE H. BROWNE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 17